



Doc Lane

ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER

August 15, 1959

1930—1959

Letter No. 461

SHALL THE KING OF BABYLON COME?

IN Chapter 20 of the Second Book of Kings in the Bible, the son of the King of Babylon sent messengers with letters and a present to Hezekiah, King of Judah.

"And Hezekiah harkened unto them, and shewed them all the house of his precious things, the silver, and the gold, and the spices, and the precious ointment, and all the house of his armour, and all that was found in his treasures: there was nothing in his house, nor in all his dominion, that Hezekiah shewed them not." (verse 13)

Then the prophet Isaiah came to Hezekiah and said, what have you shown these men from Babylon? And Hezekiah replied:

"... All the things that are in mine house have they seen: there is nothing among my treasures that I have not shewed them." (verse 15)

"And Isaiah said unto Hezekiah, Hear the word of the Lord.

"Behold, the days come, that all that is in thine house, and that which thy fathers have laid up in store unto this day, shall be carried into Babylon: nothing shall be left, saith the Lord." (verses 16 and 17)

And in Chapter 24, it is told that a later King of Babylon captured the then King of Judah in battle.

"And he carried out thence all the treasures of the house of the Lord, and the treasures of the king's house, and cut in pieces all the vessels of gold which Solomon king of Israel had made in the temple of the Lord, as the Lord had said." (verse 13)

"And he carried away all Jerusalem, and all the princes, and all the mighty men of valour, even ten thousand captives, and all the craftsmen and smiths: none remained, save the poorest sort of the people of the land." (verse 14)

Has Mr. Eisenhower heard about this?

REPORTS from many States indicate the American people are seething with indignation over the pending Khrushchev-Eisenhower exchange of visits.

Certain public leaders have been outspoken, among them Senator Styles Bridges of New Hampshire. Senator Thomas Dodd of Connecticut said that to have invited Khrushchev is "a national disgrace." Congressman Walter Judd of Minnesota, long a member of the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House, called the visit "a major advance for Khrushchev in his relentless political offen-

sive to soften up the West preparatory to the kill." Constantine Brown, experienced foreign editor of the *Washington Star*, said "This is the greatest disaster to our foreign policy in a generation."

It would seem that many of our statesmen, including Mr. Eisenhower, have forgotten what they were saying only a few brief months ago. One wonders just what precipitated the rather abrupt invitation—even before Vice President Nixon returned home.

BUT many things that have been going on in this country's domestic and foreign policy—or lack of real policy—have helped bring about Khrushchev's proposed visit.

1. The thing called "progressive education," an essential part being to allow the child to study anything he wants—or not study at all. In many public schools any attempt to grade pupils has been abandoned. At the year-end they are promoted—by the calendar.

Progressive education, with the accompanying laws in many States prohibiting a child to go to work until 16 or 17 years of age, has led to a breakdown of discipline, with resulting juvenile delinquency, a term encompassing murder, rape, or almost anything.

The cost of maintaining our public schools has been vastly increased by requiring so many courses of study, while the three "R's" and the old essentials like history, physics and chemistry and mathematics have been largely neglected. In Council Letter No. 15 in 1934 we quoted Principal John B. Opdycke of Haaren High School of New York as saying:

"Today the average cosmopolitan high school offers from seventy-five to one hundred subjects or R's and offers them under the highly complex and elaborate elective system. As a result what have we? Well, we have a bewildered student body and a confused and harassed teaching force. We have graduating groups as the terms go by, who are masters of nothing and not even Jacks of all they have attempted to study. We have a let-down in student morale and stamina and in teacher health that has meant something very closely approaching an educational crisis throughout the country. And we have wordy warfare among educators themselves about 'what must be done,' and between educators and lay taxpayers about waste and extravagance in educational administration."

And since just about this same kind of education has been going on ever since at the instance of faddists and left-wingers, many of whom are not unsympathetic to communism, there is little surprise that today, as found by several Americans who have recently visited Russia, our intermediate and high schools are vastly inferior to those of Soviet Russia.

2. We have permitted laws to stay on the statute books that give dictatorial authority to labor bosses, largely self-appointed, under whom tyranny of the worst kind has been exercised over millions of men. We have the picture today of a Congress that seems, at this writing, afraid to restore to the millions of American working people the freedom supposedly guaranteed them under the Constitution.

3. We have utterly reckless spending, Federal, State and local. We ended up the fiscal year 1959 with a deficit of over \$12 billion. If it had not been for a substantial revival in business, the deficit would have been much greater. Last spring, Governor Rockefeller insisted upon adding many millions to New York State taxes and Mayor Wagner about \$90 million to New York City's taxes. The Federal Government has actually given money to other nations which has been used to reduce their national debts, while our Federal debt has been soaring, and our Government has to pay a high price for all its borrowings.

4. The so-called integration decision of the Supreme Court in 1954 has stirred up hate and disorder in many parts of the country. The white and black races in the South were gradually (the only way that sort of thing can take place) learning to live together. The Supreme Court admitted it had based its decision partly at least, on the writings of Gunnar Myrdal, a Swedish socialist, who once described the Constitution of the United States as "nearly a conspiracy against the common people." There seems to be no let-up in the willingness of politicians to yield to such groups as the NAACP, whose activities originally led to the integration decision.

5. Similarly the present Supreme Court in the last two or three years has handed down several decisions which if written in the Kremlin could hardly have aided the communists more. One communist official in California declared these decisions were the greatest victory the communists had ever won in the United States.

6. Many of our universities have literally prepared the way for the people to submit to communism; as for instance Princeton when it allowed a meeting to be addressed by the traitor Alger Hiss; Harvard when in the face of great evidence that he was communist-influenced, invited J. Robert Oppenheimer to give a series of lectures at Harvard a year ago; and Yale when only last June it gave the degree of Doctor of Laws to the same Gunnar Myrdal mentioned above.

SIMILARLY too in our foreign relations we have allowed communist influence to have its way at almost every turn.

1. Thus our foreign-aid give-away program, quaintly known today as "mutual security," has given away nearly \$70 billion of our people's savings. There has been utter waste in Iran where \$250 million went entirely unaccounted for; and in Laos where only recently, due to the enterprise of the Scripps Howard Newspapers,

tremendous waste has been uncovered. There has been damage also to our own vital interests through shelling out, largely under the influence of socialists or communists in our Government, many billions to the then socialist government of Britain, thereby enabling that socialist government to become firmly entrenched. There has been no less than treason by those who caused our Government to lend up into the billions to communist countries like Yugoslavia and Poland.

2. The Reciprocal Trade Agreements Act, now in force over more than twenty years, has been costly to many of our own industries and to their workers. It has worked great hardships in such industries as pottery, glass, textiles, stainless steel flatware and a host of others. It seems to have become national policy to encourage large American business concerns to establish plants in other countries, thus throwing hundreds of thousands of American working people out of their jobs.

3. From first hand contact with Europeans on many visits and with the Middle East, we have found a growing impression among them that our Government is not operated in its own vital interest—that America is not master in her own house.

Nowhere is this seen more clearly than in Latin America and especially in the Caribbean area. We are reliably informed that Batista, who at least was friendly to the United States, had ordered and paid for many millions of dollars of munitions, but that the State Department at the last minute prevented them from being shipped to him. On the other hand munitions and supplies from the United States were permitted to reach Castro and Soviet submarines were allowed to approach Cuba and land munitions. What has happened in Cuba has been by American default. Washington is standing by and permitting the building up of a communist state within 90 miles of our mainland.

Beginning in 1950 we let 130,000 American soldiers die in Korea on the other side of the world—ostensibly to oppose communism. Yet without lifting a finger we allow a communist state to be built up at our very door.

We have one friend left in the Caribbean area now, namely Trujillo of the Dominican Republic. United States and Latin American communist cliques denounce him as a dictator, and plot to overthrow him. If they succeed, all that would remain would be for the communist-infiltrated Republic of Panama to "nationalize" our Panama Canal, or give it to the United Nations—where it would be sufficiently under communist influence.

ALL these and many other developments in our domestic and foreign policy have been preparing the way for absorption of the United States as one more Soviet Socialist Republic.

And now the President has invited Khrushchev, the boss of Soviet Russia, to pay a formal visit here!

In this connection we urge everybody to re-read an article by Eugene Lyons in the *Reader's Digest* of September 1957 entitled, "Khrushchev: The Killer in the Kremlin." A reprint of this article may be obtained by writing the Committee Against Summit Entanglements, Belmont 78, Mass.

At this writing, however, it is not absolutely certain that Khrushchev will visit the United States. If he does come his visit would mark the beginning of the end of

all opposition to communism in the United States. When enough people appreciate this and speak out as they did in Sweden—which led to Khrushchev's cancelling his visit there—then he will not come to the United States. It is up to citizens to make themselves heard.

Immigration—A Mighty Factor

IN no way has the American Government permitted the United States to be prepared to be taken over by communism more than through its weakening immigration policy.

Influence or control over immigration into other countries has always been a prime policy of the world communists. We said in Council Letter 408:

"In 1922 the Communist Internationale in Moscow established the *International Red Aid*. This had three purposes: the movement and deployment of communists and those most susceptible to communist discipline; the fighting of deportations within the various countries; and the working within Western countries among aliens and various nationality groups for communist objectives.

"Within ten years *International Red Aid* had penetrated sixty-seven countries. They had 83,000 organizations and various subsidiary groups, controlled by 40,000 highly placed, trained communist officials. These organizations had an aggregate membership of more than 11 million.

"The American section of I. R. A., organized in 1925 as the International Labor Defense, within ten years developed 800 subsidiary organizations.

"The communists supplemented and implemented this operation by what they call a united front tactic—namely to work with non-communist and even anti-communist groups on specific immigration objectives. That's where they fooled a multitude of good people, including churchmen."

An FBI analysis made a few years ago showed that of 5,000 of the more militant communists in the United States, 91.5 per cent were foreign born, married to foreign born or of foreign parentage. One half of them traced their ancestry to Russia or its satellites.

Congressman Francis Walter in the *Reader's Digest* of May 1953 said that the House Un-American Activities Committee had found that there were some three to five million persons who were illegally in the United States.

Mr. Richard Arens, in an address to the D.A.R., April 19, 1957 reported there has been a complete breakdown in the enforcement of our immigration laws; that in Miami he had seen stacked up on the desk of the District Director of Immigration scores of files on cases of foreign subversives, international agents en route to the United States via Cuba. Mr. Arens asked:

"How can you intercept these people?

"And they said, 'We can't. There is an outstanding order by the State Department that all documentation is waived for all persons coming into this country from Cuba ostensibly for less than twenty-nine days.' And we knew there were fifty thousand communists then in Havana, alone.

"We returned to Washington and asked the State

Department about it and they said, 'The law says we may waive documentation in certain emergency cases, so we gave a blanket order.' And we said, 'What was the emergency?' and the answer was, 'The emergency is the terrific pressure we are under to get people into the United States.' "!!!

THE situation Mr. Arens described in 1957 is even more serious today.

The American Coalition of Patriotic Societies which has long studied immigration said in its bulletin for June 1959:

"Since 1952 and the enactment of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 1,518,158 immigrants have been admitted. Of this number 549,146 were quota immigrants (including 14,793 displaced persons) and 969,012 were nonquota immigrants (including 228,012 under special enactment). According to the International Committee for European Migration Handbook for 1959, the United States took 153,743 refugees, the second largest number, with 133,494 going to Canada, often a route to the United States."

At the present moment every communist and leftist organization is working feverishly to undermine the Walter-McCarran Immigration Act by "liberalizing" amendments. With the Presidential election approaching each Party is trying to outbid the other in this course, without regard to the breakdown of internal security and problems created by increasing birth-rate, unemployment, rising relief rolls, integration, crime, school and housing shortages.

IMMENSE numbers of communists have slipped into the United States in recent years and particularly since President Roosevelt recognized Soviet Russia in 1933.

Congressman Walter said in 1957 that most of the first 6,000 Hungarian refugees brought to the United States following the Hungarian uprising were communists who were fleeing before the anti-communist rebels whom they feared might prevail.

The American people are at the mercy of the extremely brilliant communist politicians who have had a leading part in the designing of much of our immigration policy. And this communist machine has enlisted the efforts of men's and women's groups of a wide variety, including church groups. The idea advanced is that the poor refugee is to be pitied—so why should we not take him in?

One of the most misleading factors has been the so-called "World Refugee Year" sponsored by a United States resolution in the United Nations. This scheme is being used to undermine our basic immigration law.

IT was thought in the 1920's that when the quota system was introduced a foundation for sound immigration policy had been laid. American patriots were even more sure of this after the passage of the Walter-McCarran Act in 1952. This Act followed a four-year study—the most exhaustive study ever made, it is said, with respect to any pending legislation. The Bill passed both Houses by a large majority but was promptly vetoed by President Truman. The Congress, however, overrode this veto and the Bill became law.

Immediately the communists and "liberals" of all kinds set to work to undermine the law. And they succeeded on several occasions since then. Up to this writing the present Congress has done nothing about it. It is highly likely however that at the last minute, when the Congress is in the confusion of adjournment, an effort will be made to slip something through.

Representative Walter has introduced a comparatively mild Bill under which it is estimated that 17,000 persons could be admitted outside the quota, thus not increasing the quota.

But the so-called Javits-Keating-Kennedy Bill would wreck the quota system and break down the security provisions of the Walter-McCarran Act. The annual quota would become 250,920 as a result of changing the basic year in the formula from 1920 to 1950. Removal of the Asian-Pacific provision would permit large numbers of Asiatics born in the non-quota countries of the Western Hemisphere, to enter freely outside the quota. By permitting undersubscribed quotas to be transferred to colonies the gates would be open to Asiatics and Africans, particularly of the British West Indies. Also under this Bill aliens who receive foreign pardons would be admissible. The right of the Attorney General to exclude subversives on the basis of confidential information is removed. Deportation proceedings would die after ten years.

It is easy for an informed person to see the vital connection between the plan of the Javits-Keating-Kennedy crowd to wreck the Walter-McCarran Act, and the success of communism within the United States. The more aliens that enter this country, the more certainly will communism ultimately prevail.

Every American citizen should write his Representative and his two Senators urging that no immigration bill other than that of Representative Walter be passed at this Session.

AND, meanwhile, is it wise for us to let the "King of Babylon" come—to show him and his staff everything?

It could be the beginning of the end.

Return of German Assets

After every previous war, the United States has followed a policy of returning privately owned assets of the nationals of any enemy country seized by our Government to their rightful owners. This policy was established by the Jay Treaty of 1794. But now for 14 years, German-owned assets have not been returned, though a Bill has long been pending in Congress providing that restitution be made.

On July 24, a representative of the National Economic Council appeared before the House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce and urged that such a Bill be promptly enacted. A copy of his statement to the Committee will be sent upon request.

There Goes Christmas!

The Committee for Christian Action has reprinted part of an article, "There Goes Christmas!" by Hubert Kregeloh, a radio commentator (published by American Opinion, February 1959), which exposes the communist-backed drive to take Christ out of Christmas.

As one tactic, there is a movement to get department stores throughout the country to use United Nations symbols as Christmas decorations.

This conspiracy would desecrate our Christian celebration with the godless UN emblems.

Citizens can stop this by writing their stores in protest. Stores are very sensitive to such criticism.

A copy of the pamphlet "There Goes Christmas!" may be obtained by sending a self-addressed stamped envelope to Mrs. C. H. Stewart, 345 East 57th Street, New York 22, New York. Two or more copies at 3c each.

This Council Letter may be quoted in whole or part provided due credit is given to the National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y., and quotation is specified to be from Economic Council Letter 461, August 15, 1959.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, INC., established in 1950, is a non-profit, non-partisan membership corporation organized under New York State law. It publishes the semi-monthly ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER and occasional ECONOMIC COUNCIL PAPERS.

OFFICERS: President, Merwin K. Hart. Executive Vice President, Constance G. Dall. Vice Presidents: Lt. Gen. P. A. del Valle, U.S. M.C., retired; Vice Adm. C. S. Freeman, U.S.N., retired; Earl Harding, George S. Montgomery, Jr., Dr. Elwood Rowsey, A. Margaret Schmid, Ora A. Taylor; Secretary, McKay Twombly; Assistant Secretary, Sibylla Schilling; Treasurer, Baird Parks.

Subscription \$10 a year, \$6 for six months, \$3.50 for three months. Special rate for student or teacher \$5 a year.
Air Mail Subscription (domestic) \$12.00 a year, Air Mail (foreign) \$15.00 a year

EXTRA COPIES of this Council Letter 15c each (8 for \$1), \$9 per 100, \$50 per 1,000.

Special prices will be quoted for larger quantities. Please add 3% sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York and 4% shipping charges on quantities of 100 or more.

**National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y.
903 First National Bank Bldg., Utica 2, N. Y.**